International Journal of Advance Industrial Engineering
E-ISSN 2320 –5539
Available at https://ijaie.evegenis.org/

Review Article

Dynamics and Resilience in Sustainable Industrial Design: A Multi-Scale Review

Sofia Laurent[1]*, Matthias Fischer[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Industrial Engineering and Management, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
[2]Research Scholar, Institute for Industrial Automation and Production Systems, Technical University of Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany

Received 01 Mar 2025, Accepted 20 Mar 2025, Available online 26 Mar 2025, **Vol.13, No.1 (Mar 2025)**

Abstract

Engineers are increasingly confronted with the challenge of designing sustainable processes, industrial networks, and supply chains in an era of unprecedented complexity and uncertainty. Conventional steady-state sustainability assessment methodologies, while valuable, are fundamentally inadequate for addressing the dynamic complexities inherent in multiscale industrial systems. This paper presents a comprehensive review of emerging approaches that integrate nonlinear dynamics and resilience thinking into sustainable industrial design. The review systematically examines the evolution of sustainability assessment frameworks, highlighting critical limitations of static approaches in capturing nonlinearity, feedback loops, and temporal variability across multiple scales. We explore the transition to dynamic, data-driven methodologies, emphasizing hybrid mechanistic-machine learning models that bridge first-principles knowledge with empirical data. Further, we examine transdisciplinary concepts adapted from ecological sciences—including stability landscapes and adaptive cycles—demonstrating how resilience thinking fundamentally redefines process design and control strategies in the face of uncertainties. The emergence of advanced computational tools, including spatio-temporal deep learning architectures and adaptive process control strategies, is reshaping the field of sustainable industrial network design. By synthesizing resilience principles with dynamic sustainability assessments, this review establishes a transformative paradigm for industrial engineering research and practice .

Keywords: *Sustainable design, industrial networks, resilience engineering, nonlinear dynamics, hybrid modeling, supply chain sustainability*

---

1. Introduction

Sustainable design of industrial systems, materials, and processes has become one of the defining challenges for engineers and scientists today. The concept of sustainability, widely discussed in sustainability science and industrial ecology, embodies a structured balance to ensure the well-being of our planet and its inhabitants, both now and in the future. Although theoretical definitions continue to evolve, sustainability is inherently multidimensional and transdisciplinary, encompassing the interplay of environmental preservation, economic resilience, and social equity.

These three pillars are deeply interconnected and exhibit dynamic changes across spatial and temporal scales. As a result, sustainability transcends from being a static goal to evolving into a continuous process that requires adaptive strategies to navigate uncertainties and safeguard resources for future generations. This multidimensionality poses unique challenges and opportunities in industrial engineering, encompassing the design of individual processes, industrial networks comprising interacting manufacturing facilities, and global supply chains critical to meeting consumer demands.

Traditional static approaches to sustainability assessment, originating in the field of industrial ecology—such as Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Material Flow Analysis (MFA)—provide valuable insights into environmental impacts and resource efficiency. However, these methods often fail to account for the complex dynamic behaviors of industrial processes and interconnections across scales . They offer snapshot views, neglecting the temporal evolution and nonlinear interactions inherent in interconnected systems.

Recent advancements like Dynamic LCA and Dynamic MFA incorporate time-series forecasting, yet these remain limited in capturing emergent nonlinear behaviors and feedback mechanisms across various processes. Consequently, researchers increasingly recognize the need for advanced methodologies that

---

*Corresponding author's ORCID ID: 0000-0000-0000-0000
DOI: https://doi.org/10.14741/ijaie/v.13.1.1

integrate mechanistic dynamics of systems into sustainability assessments to address these complexities.

2. Limitations of Static Sustainability Assessments

2.1 Fundamental Constraints

Static sustainability assessment methodologies have served as the foundation for environmental impact evaluation for decades. However, their limitations become increasingly apparent when applied to complex industrial systems exhibiting dynamic behavior.

Table 2.1: Comparative Analysis of Assessment Approaches

Assessment Dimension	Static Approach	Dynamic Approach Required
Temporal resolution	Snapshot or annual averages	Real-time or near-real-time
Feedback loops	Ignored or linearized	Explicitly modeled
Nonlinearity	Assumed linear relationships	Captured through nonlinear dynamics
Uncertainty handling	Sensitivity analysis	Probabilistic modeling, scenario analysis
Multi-scale interaction	Scale-separated	Cross-scale coupling

2.2 The Challenge of Multiscale Complexity

Industrial systems operate across multiple interacting scales—from individual process units to global supply networks. Each scale exhibits distinct dynamic behaviors, and interactions between scales create emergent properties that static assessments cannot capture. For instance, local process optimization may create ripple effects throughout supply chains, while global market dynamics influence local operational decisions .

3.Emerging Dynamic and Data-Driven Methodologies

3.1 Hybrid Mechanistic-Machine Learning Models

Recent advances have enabled the integration of first-principles mechanistic knowledge with data-driven machine learning approaches. These hybrid models offer several advantages over purely mechanistic or purely data-driven alternatives:

- **Physical consistency:** Mechanistic components ensure adherence to fundamental laws of conservation and thermodynamics
- **Adaptive capability:** Machine learning components capture complex patterns not easily represented by first principles
- **Improved generalization:** Hybrid models extrapolate more reliably than pure black-box approaches
- **Reduced data requirements:** Mechanistic knowledge compensates for limited training data

3.2 Spatio-Temporal Deep Learning Architectures

Deep learning architectures specifically designed for spatio-temporal data are transforming sustainability assessment capabilities. These architectures can capture patterns across both space and time simultaneously, enabling:

- Prediction of pollution dispersion across geographical regions
- Optimization of logistics networks considering temporal demand variations
- Real-time monitoring of supply chain disruptions
- Early warning systems for sustainability threshold breaches

3.3 Adaptive Process Control Strategies

Traditional process control focuses on maintaining setpoints and rejecting disturbances. Adaptive control strategies, informed by dynamic sustainability assessments, enable continuous optimization of environmental and economic performance under changing conditions.

4. Resilience Thinking in Industrial Systems

4.1 Foundations from Ecological Sciences

Resilience theory, originating from ecological studies, offers profound insights for enhancing sustainability in multiscale industrial networks. Key concepts adapted from ecology include:

Stability Landscapes: Industrial systems can be conceptualized as occupying positions within stability landscapes, where valleys represent stable configurations and ridges represent thresholds beyond which systems may shift to alternative states .
Adaptive Cycles: Ecological systems exhibit characteristic adaptive cycles comprising growth, conservation, release, and reorganization phases. Industrial networks display analogous patterns as they respond to market shifts, technological disruptions, and environmental pressures.
Panarchy: Cross-scale interactions create cascading effects where disturbances at one scale may trigger responses at others. Understanding these interactions is crucial for designing resilient industrial systems.

4.2 Applications to Industrial Networks and Supply Chains

By adopting these ecological resilience concepts, researchers can identify critical thresholds and design multi-scale strategies to bolster systemic robustness. Applications include:

- Identifying vulnerability points in global supply chains
- Designing adaptive governance structures for industrial networks
- Developing early warning indicators for impending regime shifts
- Creating recovery strategies for post-disruption system restoration

Table 4.1: Resilience Principles and Industrial Applications

Ecological Principle	Industrial Application	Example
Stability landscapes	Multiple operating regimes	High-volume vs. flexible manufacturing configurations
Adaptive cycles	Product life cycle management	Design, production, use, end-of-life phases
Panarchy	Multi-tier supply chain dynamics	Supplier disruptions cascading to OEMs
Thresholds and tipping points	Sustainability boundary conditions	Emissions limits, resource depletion triggers

5. Integration Framework for Dynamic Sustainable Design

5.1 Multi-Scale Modeling Architecture

Integrating dynamics and resilience into sustainable industrial design requires a multi-scale modeling architecture that captures interactions across process, facility, network, and supply chain levels. This architecture should incorporate:

- **Process scale:** First-principles models with mechanistic accuracy
- **Facility scale:** System dynamics models capturing operational policies
- **Network scale:** Agent-based models representing firm interactions
- **Supply chain scale:** Hybrid models integrating physical and information flows

5.2 Convergence of Methodologies

Although distinct in origin, the paradigms of process systems engineering and ecological resilience converge in their shared objective: developing and governing sustainable systems capable of maintaining functionality amid dynamic conditions. This convergence creates opportunities for:

- Cross-disciplinary research collaborations
- Methodological cross-fertilization
- Unified theoretical frameworks
- Integrated assessment tools

6. Future Research Directions

The integration of dynamics and resilience into sustainable industrial design opens numerous research frontiers:

1. Advanced Hybrid Modeling: Development of next-generation hybrid models that seamlessly integrate mechanistic knowledge with deep learning architectures for improved predictive capability.
2. Resilience Metrics: Creation of quantitative metrics for measuring industrial network resilience, enabling comparative assessment and targeted improvement.
3. Real-Time Sustainability Control: Integration of dynamic sustainability assessments with real-time process control systems for continuous optimization.
4. Multi-Scale Validation: Development of validation methodologies for multi-scale models addressing data availability and uncertainty propagation challenges.
5. Policy Design: Translation of resilience principles into actionable policy frameworks supporting sustainable industrial transformation.

7. Conclusion

The transition from static to dynamic sustainability assessment represents a fundamental paradigm shift in industrial engineering. By incorporating nonlinear dynamics and resilience thinking, researchers and practitioners can develop industrial systems capable of maintaining functionality amid uncertainty while continuously improving environmental and economic performance. The convergence of process systems engineering with ecological resilience principles offers a powerful framework for addressing the complex challenges of sustainable industrial development in an era of unprecedented change.

References

[1] Dynamics and resilience for design of sustainable processes, industrial networks, and supply chains: Review and perspective. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 2025.
[2] United Nations. (2024). Sustainable Development Goals Report 2024.
[3] Fiksel, J. (2006). Sustainability and resilience: toward a systems approach. *Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy*, 2(2), 14-21.
[4] Holling, C. S. (1973). Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4(1), 1-23.
[5] Gunderson, L. H., & Holling, C. S. (Eds.). (2002). *Panarchy: understanding transformations in human and natural systems*. Island Press.